

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 09/466,178 | 12/17/1999 | ANDREW PETER BRADLEY | 169.1523 | 9858 | |
| 5514 | 14 7590 01/29/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO | | | KIBLER, VII | KIBLER, VIRGINIA M | |
| 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2623 | 13 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | /)/ | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | 09/466,178 | BRADLEY ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Virginia M Kibler | 2623 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON | timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N | ovember 2003. | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,8 and 10-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10,11,14-16,19-21 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,12,13,17,18,22 and 23 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | . G.G.G G. quin G | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is c | see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | raminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the | s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification evisional application has been received. | ved in this National Stage ved. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ∆ □ • | (DTO 442) David N. (1) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) D Notice of Informa | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/466,178

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment received on 11/3/03 has been entered. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, and 10-24 remain pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: "morphological process:" should be changed to "morphological process." in line 3. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1; 2, 5-8, 10, 11, 14-16, 19-21, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Nostrand (5,008,752) in view of Tai (5,054,100).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 15, and 20, Van Nostrand discloses a method of interpolating a first set of discrete sample values to generate a second set of discrete sample values using one of a plurality of interpolation kernels (Abstract), wherein the interpolation kernel 16 is selected 15 depending on the type of image content including a local contrast indicator being used to indicate text regions represented by the first set of discreet sample values in order to optimize the

Art Unit: 2623

selection of the interpolation kernel (Col. 5, lines 41-57). Van Nostrand discloses employing more interpolation kernels providing different degrees of edge enhancement (Col. 15, lines 3-20), but does not specify including an edge strength indicator and an edge direction indicator. However, Tai teaches that it is known to modify interpolation of an image depending on an edge strength indicator and an edge direction indicator (Abstract; Col. 5, lines 56-58; Col. 6, lines 4-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the interpolation kernels disclosed by Van Nostrand to include selecting the interpolation kernels depending edge strength indicator and an edge direction indicator as taught by Tai because it provides selective edge enhancement in the interpolation images (Van Nostrand, Col. 15, lines 9-14).

Regarding claims 2, 11, 16, and 21, Van Nostrand discloses the plurality of interpolation kernels are each derived from a universal interpolation kernel (Col. 5, lines 2-39).

Regarding claims 5, 14, 19, and 24, Van Nostrand discloses the first set of discrete sample values are at a different resolution than the second set of discrete sample values (Abstract).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Van Nostrand and Tai do not appear to recognize including a morphological process. However, using a morphological operation is known in the image processing prior art, as indicated in the applicant's disclosure (Page 9, lines 16-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the indicators disclosed by Van Nostrand and Tai to include a morphological operation in order to emphasize the different areas to facilitate the differentiation.

Application/Control Number: 09/466,178

Art Unit: 2623

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

5. Claims 3, 4, 12, 13, 17, 18, 22, and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10, 15, and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/466,178

Art Unit: 2623

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia M Kibler whose telephone number is (703) 306-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00 - 5:30 and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

VK

1/25/04

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mehrdad Dastomi

Page 5